UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK GWYNN GALITZER, **SECOND AMENDED** Plaintiff, **COMPLAINT** -against-**ECF CASE** THE CITY OF NEW YORK; MICHAEL BLOOMBERG, Mayor of the City of New York; JURY TRIAL DEMANDED RAYMOND KELLY, New York City Police Commissioner; STEPHEN HAMMERMAN,) 05 Civ. 7669 (RJS) (JCF) Former Deputy Commissioner for Legal Matters, New York City Police Department; DAVID COHEN, Deputy Commissioner for Intelligence, New York City Police Department; THOMAS DOEPFNER, Assistant Deputy Commissioner for Legal Matters, New York City Police Department; NYPD LIEUTENANT DANIEL ALBANO; NYPD) DEPUTY INSPECTOR KERRY SWEET, NYPD Legal Bureau Executive Officer; NYPD Legal Bureau Senior Counsel RUBY MARIN-JORDAN NYPD LEGAL BUREAU SUPERVISOR(S) AT PIER 57; JOSEPH ESPOSITO, Chief of the New York Police Department; THOMAS GRAHAM, Commander, Disorder Control Unit, New York City) Police Department; JACK MCMANUS, Assistant) Chief, New York City Police Department; BRUCE) SMOLKA, former Commander, Patrol Borough Manhattan South, New York City Police Department; TERENCE MONAHAN, Assistant Chief of the Bronx Borough Command; JOHN J. COLGAN, Assistant Chief, New York City Police Department; NYPD DEPUTY CHIEF JAMES O'NEILL; NYPD INSPECTOR JAMES CAPALDO; NYPD DEPUTY INSPECTOR RONALD MERCANDETTI; NYPD CAPTAIN EUGENE MONTCHAL; POLICE LIEUTENANT) JOE MAHER; POLICE OFFICER KERI MITCHELL, Shield No. 14657; POLICE OFFICER) DONALD NELZI, Shield No. 30324; JOHN DOES;) RICHARD ROES; HUDSON RIVER PARK

Document 50

TRUST,)
)
	Defendants.)
		X

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

1. This is a civil rights action in which plaintiff GWYNN GALITZER seeks relief for the defendants' violation of her rights secured by the Civil Rights Act of 1871, 42 U.S.C. Section 1983, by the United States Constitution, including its First, Fourth, Fifth, Sixth and Fourteenth Amendments, and by the laws and Constitution of the State of New York. The plaintiff seeks damages, both compensatory and punitive, affirmative and equitable relief, an award of costs and attorneys' fees, and such other and further relief as this court deems equitable and just.

JURISDICTION

- 2. This action is brought pursuant to the Constitution of the United States, including its First, Fourth, Fifth, Sixth and Fourteenth Amendments, and pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §1983.

 Jurisdiction is conferred upon this court by 42 U.S.C. §1983 and 28 U.S.C. §\$1331 and 1343(a)(3) and (4), this being an action seeking redress for the violation of GWYNN GALITZER's constitutional and civil rights.
- 3. The plaintiff further invokes this court's supplemental jurisdiction, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1367, over any and all state law claims and as against all parties that are so related to claims in this action within the original jurisdiction of this court that they form part of the same case or controversy.

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

4. Plaintiff demands a trial by jury on each and every claim as pleaded herein.

VENUE

5. Venue is proper for the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391 (a), (b) and (c).

NOTICE OF CLAIM

6. GWYNN GALITZER filed a Notice of Claim with the Comptroller of the City of New York on November 24, 2004, within 90 days of the incidents complained of herein. More than 30 days have elapsed since the filing of these Notices of Claim, and adjustment or payment thereof has been neglected or refused.

PARTIES

- 7. Plaintiff GWYNN GALITZER is a citizen of the United States, and at all times relevant herein resided in the state of New York.
- 8. Defendant THE CITY OF NEW YORK ("The City") is and was at all times relevant herein a municipal entity created and authorized under the laws of the State of New York. It is authorized by law to maintain a police department, which acts as its agent in the area of law enforcement and for which it is ultimately responsible. Defendant THE CITY OF NEW YORK assumes the risks incidental to the maintenance of a police force and the employment of police officers as said risk attaches to the public consumers of the services provided by the New York City Police Department ("NYPD").
- 9. Defendant MICHAEL BLOOMBERG is and was, at all times relevant herein, the Mayor of the City of New York and the chief policy making official for the City and its departments, including the New York City Police Department and is responsible, in whole and/or in part, for the creation,

implementation, promulgation and enforcement of the policies, practices and/or customs complained of herein. He is sued in both his individual and official capacities.

- 10. Defendant RAYMOND KELLY is and was at all times relevant herein, the Police Commissioner for the City of New York, and he is responsible, in whole and/or in part, for the creation, implementation, promulgation and enforcement of the policies, practices and /or customs complained of herein. He is sued individually and in his official capacity.
- 11. STEPHEN HAMMERMAN, is and was at all times relevant herein, the Deputy Commissioner for Legal Matters for the New York City Police Department, and he is responsible, in whole and/or in part, for the creation, implementation, promulgation and enforcement of the policies, practices and /or customs complained of herein. He is sued individually and in his official capacity.
- 12. DAVID COHEN, is and was at all times relevant herein, the Deputy Commissioner for Intelligence for the New York City Police Department, and he is responsible, in whole and/or in part, for the creation, implementation, promulgation and enforcement of the policies, practices and /or customs complained of herein. He is sued individually and in his official capacity.
- 13. THOMAS DOEPFNER, is and was at all times relevant herein, Assistant Deputy Commissioner for Legal Matters for the New York City Police Department, and he is responsible, in whole and/or in part, for the creation, implementation, promulgation and enforcement of the policies, practices and /or customs complained of herein. He is sued individually and in his official capacity.
- 14. NYPD LIEUTENANT DANIEL ALBANO, is and was at all times relevant herein a Lieutenant in the Legal Bureau for the New York City Police Department, and he is

responsible, in whole and/or in part, for the creation, implementation, promulgation and enforcement of the policies, practices and /or customs complained of herein. He is sued individually and in his official capacity.

- 15. NYPD DEPUTY INSPECTOR KERRY SWEET, is and was at all times relevant herein a Deputy Inspector in and the Executive Officer of the Legal Bureau for the New York City Police Department, and he is responsible, in whole and/or in part, for the creation, implementation, promulgation and enforcement of the policies, practices and /or customs complained of herein. He is sued individually and in his official capacity.
- 16. RUBY MARIN-JORDAN is and was at all times relevant herein a Senior Counsel within the Legal Bureau for the New York City Police Department, and she is responsible, in whole and/or in part, for the creation, implementation, promulgation and enforcement of the policies, practices and /or customs complained of herein. She is sued individually and in his official capacity.
- 17. NYPD LEGAL BUREAU SUPERVISOR(S) AT PIER 57 are and were at all times relevant herein members of the Legal Bureau for the New York City Police Department, and are responsible, in whole and/or in part, for the creation, implementation, promulgation and enforcement of the policies, practices and /or customs complained of herein. They are sued individually and in his official capacity.
- 18. Defendant JOSEPH ESPOSITO is and was at all times the Chief of Department of the NYPD, and he is responsible, in whole and/or in part, for the creation, implementation, promulgation and enforcement of the policies, practices and /or customs complained of herein. He was also a street commander who commanded / oversaw the making of unconstitutional and illegal mass arrests during

the RNC period. He is sued individually and in his official capacity.

- 19. Defendant THOMAS GRAHAM is the Commanding Officer of the Disorder Control Unit of the NYPD and he is responsible, in whole and/or in part, for the creation, implementation, promulgation and enforcement of the policies, practices and/or customs complained of herein. He is sued individually and in his official capacity.
- 20. Defendant JACK MCMANUS, is an Assistant Chief in the New York City Police Department and was the NYPD's principal liaison with the Republican Party and for general oversight of the NYPD operations during the Republican National Convention (RNC) period, and he is responsible, in whole and/or in part, for the creation, implementation, promulgation and enforcement of the policies, practices and/or customs complained of herein. He is sued individually and in his official capacity.
- 21. Defendant BRUCE SMOLKA is a retired Assistant Chief of the NYPD and the former Chief of Patrol Borough Manhattan South and he is responsible, in whole and/or in part, for the creation, implementation, promulgation and enforcement of the policies, practices and/or customs complained of herein. He was also a street commander who commanded / oversaw the making of unconstitutional and illegal mass arrests during the RNC period. He is sued individually and in his official capacity. He is sued individually and in his official capacity.
- 22. Defendant TERENCE MONAHAN is an Assistant Chief in the NYPD and the Chief of the Bronx Borough Command who during the RNC period was responsible for the NYPD's Mobile Field Forces, which made the great majority of the unlawful arrests during the RNC period, and he is responsible, in whole and/or in part, for the creation, implementation, promulgation and enforcement of the policies, practices and/or customs complained of herein. He was also a street commander who commanded / oversaw the making of unconstitutional and illegal mass arrests during the RNC period.

He is sued individually and in his official capacity.

- 23. Defendant JOHN J. COLGAN, is an Assistant Chief in the NYPD and was the senior official overseeing the NYPD's operations at Pier 57 and he is responsible, in whole and/or in part, for the creation, implementation, promulgation and enforcement of the policies, practices and/or customs complained of herein. He is sued individually and in his official capacity.
- 24. Defendants HAMMERMAN, ALBANO, O'NEILL, CAPALDO, MERCANDETTI, MONTCHAL, MAHER, MITCHELL, NELZI and JOHN DOES, are and were at all times relevant herein duly appointed and acting officers, servants, employees and agents of THE CITY OF NEW YORK and/or the New York City Police Department, a municipal agency of defendant THE CITY OF NEW YORK. Said individual defendants are and were at all times relevant herein acting under color of state law in the course and scope of their duties and functions as officers, agents, servants, and employees of defendant THE CITY OF NEW YORK, were acting for, and on behalf of, and with the power and authority vested in them by THE CITY OF NEW YORK and the New York City Police Department, and were otherwise performing and engaging in conduct incidental to the performance of their lawful functions in the course of their duties. Defendants HAMMERMAN, ALBANO, O'NEILL, CAPALDO, MERCANDETTI, MONTCHAL, MAHER, MITCHELL, NELZI and JOHN DOES are sued individually and in their official capacity.
- 25. Defendants BLOOMBERG, KELLY, HAMMERMAN, COHEN, DOEPFNER, ALBANO, SWEET, MARIN-JORDAN, ESPOSITO, GRAHAM, MCMANUS, SMOLKA, MONAHAN, COLGAN, HAMMERMAN, ALBANO, O'NEILL, CAPALDO, MERCANDETTI, MONTCHAL, MAHER, and RICHARD ROES are and were at all times relevant herein duly appointed and acting supervisory officers, servants, employees and agents of

THE CITY OF NEW YORK and/or the New York City Police Department, responsible for the training, retention, supervision, discipline and control of police officers under their command. Said individual defendants are and were at all times relevant herein acting under color of state law in the course and scope of their duties and functions as supervisory officers, agents, servants, and employees of defendant THE CITY OF NEW YORK, were acting for, and on behalf of, and with the power and authority vested in them by THE CITY OF NEW YORK and the New York City Police Department, and were otherwise performing and engaging in conduct incidental to the performance of their lawful functions in the course of their duties. Defendants BLOOMBERG, KELLY, HAMMERMAN, COHEN, DOEPFNER, ALBANO, SWEET, MARIN-JORDAN, ESPOSITO, GRAHAM, MCMANUS, SMOLKA, MONAHAN, COLGAN, HAMMERMAN, ALBANO, O'NEILL, CAPALDO, MERCANDETTI, MONTCHAL, MAHER, and RICHARD ROES are sued individually and in their official capacity.

26. Defendant HUDSON RIVER PARK TRUST (hereinafter "HRPT") is and was at all times relevant herein a public benefit corporation, organized and existing pursuant to the laws of the State of New York. Defendant HUDSON RIVER PARK TRUST assumes the risks incidental to the maintenance and use of its structures and the employment of its employees as said risk attaches to the public consumers of the services provided by the HUDSON RIVER PARK TRUST and those who enter and remain within its structures. Officials of HUDSON RIVER PARK TRUST contracted with the CITY OF NEW YORK and/or otherwise assisted THE CITY OF NEW YORK with its use of Pier 57 during the period of the Republican National Convention (RNC). Said officials are and were at all times relevant herein acting under color of state law in the course and scope of their duties and functions as officials of defendant HUDSON

Page 9 of 31

RIVER PARK TRUST, were acting for, and on behalf of, and with the power and authority vested in them by HUDSON RIVER PARK TRUST and were otherwise performing and engaging in conduct incidental to the performance of their lawful functions in the course of their duties.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

- 27. On the late afternoon of August 31st, 2004 GWYNN GALITZER and her friend went to the Main Branch of the New York Public Library on 5th Avenue in Manhattan. They sat for a while at a table and chairs in the public plaza in front of the library, playing guitar and singing.
 - 28. The plaza became more crowded with people and with police officers.
- 29. The police officers began to act aggressively and violently towards the individuals present there, and were pushing some of the people present there onto the ground and arresting them.
- 30. Defendants HAMMERMAN, ALBANO, O'NEILL, CAPALDO, MERCANDETTI, MONTCHAL, and MAHER were responsible, in whole or in part, for the creation of that hostile climate and the conditions that led to Plaintiff's arrest.
- 31. Defendant O'Neill had given an illegal order to clear the library plaza of people, and acted aggressively towards civilians in the plaza, including making false arrests.
- 32. Defendants CAPALDO, MERCANDETTI, and MONTCHAL also participated in illegally clearing the plaza, and made false arrests and searches in the vicinity of the plaza area.
- 33. Defendants HAMMERMAN and ALBANO were on scene and participated in, and contributed to, the false arrests that took place there.

- 34. Defendants HAMMERMAN, ALBANO, O'NEILL, CAPALDO, MERCANDETTI, MONTCHAL, MAHER, and RICHARD ROES were among the NYPD Commanding Officers who also exercised command responsibility at the library plaza, and participated both directly and in their capacity as supervisors in making the wrongful mass arrests, including by assisting with the handcuffing process and the assignment of arrestees to their assigned arresting officers. They were remiss in their supervisory duties, including failing to ensure that assigned arresting officers under their command had individualized knowledge that any of their assigned arrestees had engaged in any illegal conduct, and failing to ensure that their subordinate officers did not fill out false paperwork and swear out false affidavits attesting to facts of which they were not truly aware.
 - 35. GWYNN GALITZER's friend became very anxious, and began to hyperventilate.
- 36. Defendant MAHER came over to the table where GWYNN GALITZER and her friend were sitting. Defendant MAHER was yelling and cursing, and telling GWYNN GALITZER and her friend, in sum and substance, to "get the fuck out of here right now."
- 37. GWYNN GALITZER told defendant MAHER, in sum and substance, that she and her friend were leaving that location, but that her friend needed a moment to catch her breath. Defendant MAHER continued to yell.
- 38. GWYNN GALITZER's friend got upset and told defendant MAHER, in sum and substance, that what the police were doing was disgusting.
- 39. Defendant MAHER then told police officers in the immediate vicinity to arrest GWYNN GALITZER's friend.
 - 40. GWYNN GALITZER pleaded with the officers to please not arrest her friend.

Defendant Maher then pushed GWYNN GALITZER to the ground and told police officers in the immediate vicinity to arrest GWYNN GALITZER.

- 41. GWYNN GALITZER was handcuffed and placed into a vehicle by NYPD officers for transport to Pier 57.
- 42. GWYNN GALITZER was arrested during one of the many mass-arrests conducted by the NYPD during the period of the Republican National Convention (RNC), arrests made by the NYPD without probable cause or individualized suspicion of criminal activity.
- 43. GWYNN GALITZER was taken to Pier 57, a former bus depot that was reconfigured for use as a detention facility during the period of the RNC by defendant CITY OF NEW YORK.
 - 44. Pier 57 is owned by and/or under the control of defendant HRPT.
- 45. Prior to the RNC the CITY OF NEW YORK contracted with the HRPT for the use of Pier 57 as a detention facility.
- 46. On information and belief, all of the arrestees from this location, and the vast majority of the almost two thousand people arrested during the course of the period of the RNC, were confined for some period of time at Pier 57.
- 47. The conditions en route to and at Pier 57 were atrocious. The detainees at the Pier were kept in chain-link cages topped with barbed wire that lacked adequate seating. The floor of Pier 57 was caked with a dark grimy substance that spread to the clothing and bodies of those detained therein. There were numerous signs warning of hazardous materials that were

posted at multiple locations throughout the pier. On information and belief, the detainees were exposed during their time at Pier 57 to toxic, irritating and/or hazardous chemicals which the City and HRPT knew, or should have known, were present there. During these periods of confinement the detainees were unlawfully denied adequate food, water, sanitation, medical attention and/or access to counsel.

- 48. Plaintiffs were processed at Pier 57, and their arresting officers were instructed by employees of THE CITY OF NEW YORK under the supervision of HAMMERMAN, DOEPFNER, SWEET, ALBANO MARIN-JORDAN, and NYPD LEGAL BUREAU SUPERVISOR(S) AT PIER 57 to create false accounts of plaintiffs' arrests. On information and belief, these Legal Bureau personnel also participated in the making of unconstitutional and illegal arrests at numerous mass arrest locations during the RNC.
- 49. Defendant HAMMERMAN was appointed by Defendant Kelly as Deputy Commissioner of Legal Matters without having knowledge at all of the rights of demonstrators or of individuals' rights under the First Amendment more generally, and no knowledge of the elements of the charges that are most commonly lodged against arrestees in demonstrationrelated circumstances. In addition to delegating his authority to Defendant DOEPFNER during the RNC period, which resulted in the construction of the NYPD Legal Bureau's unconstitutional and illegal policies, practices, customs, and usages during the RNC period, Defendant HAMMERMAN also personally set a tone of lawlessness and contempt for individual rights within the NYPD during the RNC, including by personally attempting to frame an individual named Dennis Kyne for crimes which he did not commit.
 - 50. The detainees at Pier 57, including plaintiffs, were further subjected to

unreasonable delay in being processed and moved through the system. The City insisted on fingerprinting all RNC detainees, the vast number of whom were arrested and charged based only on the alleged commission of violations that did not rise to the level of crimes under New York State law, and who therefore under New York State law did not have to be fingerprinted to be processed and released with a date to return for court. Despite the City's insistence on fingerprinting all RNC arrestees, the detention facility at Pier 57 not equipped with any fingerprinting machines. On information and belief, once the City eventually fingerprinted these RNC arrestees their fingerprints and other personal information were sent to State and Federal authorities and not destroyed, thus violating the privacy rights and interests of the arrestees and creating the potential for unlawful surveillance activity by law enforcement authorities, for the unlawful chilling of their exercise of free speech and association, and for disruptions to their lives. Additionally, unnecessary and dilatory additional processing steps were taken at Pier 57 that delayed the detainees' movement through the system, including overly exacting property itemization procedures and the screening of each arrestee's paperwork by the NYPD's Legal Division to determine and finalize what suggested charges should be forwarded to the District Attorney's office for each arrestee, and to create the arrest narratives on the arresting officers' paperwork. Further unreasonable and unnecessary delays were also suffered by RNC detainees upon their subsequent transfer to and detention at the Central Booking Facility at 100 Centre Street. All arrestees during the RNC were thereby held in custody of the CITY OF NEW YORK for periods of time longer than were reasonable or necessary.

51. After their detention at Pier 57, further callous and unreasonable treatment and conditions of confinement were endured by the detainees, including GWYNN GALITZER,

Filed 02/05/2008

during their transfer to and confinement at the Central Booking Facility at 100 Centre Street.

- 52. On information and belief, during the periods of their confinement the vast majority of RNC arrestees were subjected to unreasonably tight and painful wrist restraints/handcuffs.
- 53. During her time in custody GWYNN GALITZER was subjected to unreasonably tight and painful wrist restraints/handcuffs.
- 54. Plaintiff GWYNN GALITZER was released after approximately 45 hours in custody. She was charged with Obstruction of Governmental Administration in the Second Degree, Disorderly Conduct, and Parading Without a Permit. All charges against Plaintiff GWYNN GALITZER have been dismissed in their entirety by way of an Adjournment in Contemplation of Dismissal.
- 55. Defendant MITCHELL was the deponent on the complaint filed with the Criminal Court against plaintiff. Defendant MITCHELL on that document claims that she was informed by defendant NELZI that GWYNN GALITZER had committed the acts alleged therein.
- 56. Prior to, during, and after the RNC officials of the CITY OF NEW YORK evinced through their statements and actions an attitude of contempt toward those who demonstrated during the RNC, and toward those thought to be assisting or otherwise related to them, including legal observers, anarchists, certain segments of the press, and the National Lawyers Guild. Officials of the CITY OF NEW YORK attempted to create a climate of fear relative to the activities of demonstrators.
 - Defendant COHEN prior to the RNC caused the NYPD to be engaged in 57.

widespread surveillance of individuals and groups expected to be demonstrating during the RNC period, and fostered and created a climate of hostility toward demonstrators, improperly conflating them with civil disobedience and/or violence and/or violent anarchism and/or terrorism. The intelligence obtained through Defendant COHEN's RNC intelligence program formed the backdrop and basis for the unconstitutional and illegal treatment of RNC arrestees, including the decision to engage in wrongful mass arrests, to fingerprint all arrestees, to not issue summonses to any RNC arrestees, and to hold RNC arrestees in NYPD custody for an improperly long period of time. The intelligence, and the interpretation of intelligence, which was provided by COHEN to THE CITY OF NEW YORK, to NYPD officials, and to others as a result of that surveillance and intelligence-gathering grossly misrepresented what could be expected to occur during the RNC, and encouraged and created this climate of hostility toward demonstrators and these unconstitutional and illegal policies resulting therefrom.

58. This tone of hostility to demonstrators, and the resultant policies, customs, practices and usages-to punish and discourage dissent were further set by statements made by other high level City Officials, including the Mayor and Police Commissioner, in the period leading up to and during the RNC. These statements portrayed those who chose to engage in political protest in the most negative light. This criminalization and/or demonization of people engaged in political protest was clearly communicated to NYPD police officers and supervisors through the public statements by NYPD officials, including Police Commissioner Kelly, who made remarks about the threat posed to New York City by "hard-core" and "dangerous" protestors. This sentiment was reiterated, summarized and ratified in the public statements of defendant BLOOMBERG in which he identified the plaintiffs and those similarly situated as

- 59. Defendants BLOOMBERG and KELLY further displayed their animosity to demonstrators, and their contempt for the rights of demonstrators, during the RNC period by discriminatorily and under false pretenses denying the issuance of a permit for use of Central Park's Great Lawn by demonstrators for a rally at the end of the largest planned demonstration of the RNC period on August 29, 2004.
- 60. The NYPD engaged in unreasonable mass arrests at multiple locations throughout New York City during the period of the RNC, where everyone or almost everyone present at a particular location was taken into police custody if they were demonstrating or perceived by the NYPD to have been demonstrating. Lawful demonstrators, observers, or passers-by were swept up in these mass arrests and taken to Pier 57. These arrests were conducted unreasonably, without respect for the rights of free expression and association, and without probable cause or individualized suspicion of wrongdoing.
- 61. The NYPD enforced a facially unconstitutional, overbroad, and vague parading ordinance against those demonstrating or perceived to have been demonstrating, and applied the parading ordinance and other laws, including the statute prohibiting disorderly conduct, in a discriminatory and unequal fashion.
- 62. The CITY OF NEW YORK engaged in false arrests and used the conditions and length of pre-arraignment detention for a punitive purpose, and in order to interfere with, retaliate for, and chill the exercise of free speech and association. The CITY OF NEW YORK engaged in these false arrests and dilatory processing of the arrestees in part to prevent the arrestees from exercising their rights to free speech and expression.

FIRST CLAIM

DEPRIVATION OF RIGHTS UNDER THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION AND 42 U.S.C. §1983

- 63. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in all preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- By their conduct and actions in harassing, falsely arresting, maliciously 64. prosecuting, abusing process against, assaulting and battering, violating and retaliating for the exercise of rights to free speech and assembly of, inflicting emotional distress upon, failing to intercede on behalf of, and fabricating an account concerning the arrests of plaintiff, by subjecting plaintiff to improperly prolonged, harsh, and hazardous conditions of confinement without access to lawyers, by deliberate indifference to medical needs, and by violating the arrestees' Fourteenth Amendment rights to equal protection and substantive and procedural due process, defendants BLOOMBERG, KELLY, HAMMERMAN, COHEN, DOEPFNER, ALBANO, SWEET, MARIN-JORDAN, NYPD LEGAL BUREAU SUPERVISOR(S) AT PIER 57; ESPOSITO, GRAHAM, MCMANUS, SMOLKA, MONAHAN, COLGAN, O'NEILL, CAPALDO, MERCANDETI, MONTCHAL, MAHER, MITCHELL, NELZI and JOHN DOES, acting under color of law and without lawful justification, intentionally, maliciously, and with a deliberate indifference to or a reckless disregard for the natural and probable consequences of their acts, caused injury and damage in violation of plaintiff's constitutional rights as guaranteed under 42 U.S.C. §1983 and the United States Constitution, including its First, Fourth, Fifth, Sixth and Fourteenth amendments.
- 65. As a result of the foregoing, GWYNN GALITZER was deprived of her liberty and property, suffered bodily injury, pain and suffering, psychological and emotional injury,

great humiliation, costs and expenses, and was otherwise damaged and injured.

SECOND CLAIM

SUPERVISORY LIABILITY FOR DEPRIVATION OF RIGHTS UNDER THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION AND 42 U.S.C. §1983

- 66. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in all preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- By failing to remedy the wrongs committed by their subordinates, and in failing 67. to properly train, screen, supervise, or discipline their subordinates, supervisory officers BLOOMBERG, KELLY, HAMMERMAN, COHEN, DOEPFNER, ALBANO, SWEET, MARIN-JORDAN, NYPD LEGAL BUREAU SUPERVISOR(S) AT PIER 57; ESPOSITO, GRAHAM, MCMANUS, SMOLKA, MONAHAN, COLGAN, O'NEILL, CAPALDO. MERCANDETI, MONTCHAL, MAHER, and RICHARD ROES caused damage and injury in violation of GWYNN GALITZER's rights guaranteed under 42 U.S.C. §1983, and the United States Constitution, including its First, Fourth, Fifth, Sixth and Fourteenth amendments.
- 68. As a result of the foregoing, GWYNN GALITZER was deprived of her liberty and property, suffered bodily injury, pain and suffering, psychological and emotional injury, great humiliation, costs and expenses, and was otherwise damaged and injured.

THIRD CLAIM

LIABILITY OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK FOR CONSTITUTIONAL VIOLATIONS

69. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in all preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

- 70. At all times material to this complaint, defendant THE CITY OF NEW YORK, acting through its police department, and through the individual defendants had policies, practices, customs and usages which were a direct and proximate cause of the unconstitutional conduct alleged herein.
- 71. At all times material to this complaint, defendant THE CITY OF NEW YORK, acting through its police department, and through the individual defendants, had policies, practices, customs, and usages of failing to properly train, screen, supervise, or discipline employees and police officers, and of failing to inform the individual defendants' supervisors of their need to train, screen, supervise or discipline said defendants. These policies, practices, customs, and usages were a direct and proximate cause of the unconstitutional conduct alleged herein.
- At all times material to this complaint, defendant THE CITY OF NEW YORK, acting through its police department, and through the individual defendants, had policies, practices, customs, and usages of encouraging and/or tacitly sanctioning the violation of and/or retaliation for individuals' exercise of free expression and assembly. The CITY OF NEW YORK during the period of the RNC had policies, practices, customs, and usages of punishing and suppressing peaceful expression and association. The CITY OF NEW YORK during the period of the RNC had policies, practices, customs, and usages of using the mechanisms and conditions of arrest and pre-arraignment detention for punitive and deterrent purposes. The CITY OF NEW YORK during the period of the RNC had policies, practices, customs, and usages of maliciously prosecuting those arrested in connection with the RNC. The CITY OF NEW YORK during the period of the RNC had policies, practices, customs, and usages of

preventive detention. These policies, practices, customs, and usages were a direct and proximate cause of the unconstitutional conduct alleged herein.

- 73. At all times material to this complaint, defendant THE CITY OF NEW YORK, acting through its police department, and through the individual defendants, had policies, practices, customs, and usages of keeping the traffic flow moving smoothly for the benefit of the Republican Party at all costs, including the use of mass arrests and preventive detention, and of ensuring that the Republican Delegates to the Republican National Convention were able to move among and between their hotels, Madison Square Garden, and other RNC venues without any risk of impediment. These policies, practices, customs, and usages were a direct and proximate cause of the unconstitutional conduct alleged herein.
- 74. At all times material to this complaint, defendant THE CITY OF NEW YORK, acting through its police department, and through the individual defendants, had policies, practices, customs, and usages of making false arrests at the slightest subjective perception of uncooperativeness by civilians or that the police were not in, or may soon not be in, full control of a situation, whether or not their perceived lack of control or a perceived lack of cooperativeness in fact did not indicate the violation of any law. These policies, practices, customs, and usages were a direct and proximate cause of the unconstitutional conduct alleged herein.
- 75. At all times material to this complaint, defendant THE CITY OF NEW YORK, acting through its police department, and through the individual defendants, had policies, practices, customs, and usages of not issuing summonses to RNC arrestees, and of

Filed 02/05/2008

not issuing Desk Appearance Tickets to RNC arrestees. These policies, practices, customs, and usages were a direct and proximate cause of the unconstitutional conduct alleged herein.

- 76. At all times material to this complaint, defendant THE CITY OF NEW YORK, acting through its police department, and through the individual defendants, had policies, practices, customs, and usages of fingerprinting of all RNC arrestees. These policies, practices, customs, and usages were a direct and proximate cause of the unconstitutional conduct alleged herein.
- 77. At all times material to this complaint, defendant THE CITY OF NEW YORK, acting through its police department, and through the individual defendants, had policies, practices, customs, and usages of making false statements concerning RNC arrestees and the circumstances of their arrests in police documents, to Assistant District Attorneys, in sworn Criminal Court charging instruments, and at criminal trials. These policies, practices, customs, and usages were a direct and proximate cause of the unconstitutional conduct alleged herein.
- 78. At all times material to this complaint, defendant THE CITY OF NEW YORK, acting through its police department, and through the individual defendants, had policies, practices, customs, and usages of inflicting pain and suffering upon persons, including, keeping arrestees in handcuffs / flexcuffs for extended periods of time, applying extremely tight handcuffs / flexcuffs, denying adequate facilities to sit or sleep, exposure to irritants, exposure to extremes of heat and cold, shuttling arrestees unnecessarily among and between numerous holding cages and cells, and other means of inflicting pain and discomfort. These policies, practices, customs, and usages were a direct and proximate cause of the unconstitutional conduct alleged herein.

- 79. At all times material to this complaint, defendant THE CITY OF NEW YORK, acting through its police department, and through the individual defendants, had policies, practices, customs, and usages of engaging in degrading verbal abuse utterly intolerable in a civilized society towards RNC arrestees, often while physical compulsion and/or force was being applied to RNC arrestees via tight handcuffs / flexcuffs or otherwise. These policies, practices, customs, and usages were a direct and proximate cause of the unconstitutional conduct alleged herein.
- 80. At all times material to this complaint, defendant THE CITY OF NEW YORK, acting through its police department, and through the individual defendants, had policies, practices, customs, and usages of promoting those members of the NYPD who participated in the NYPD's unconstitutional and illegal policies during the RNC. These policies, practices, customs, and usages were a direct and proximate cause of the unconstitutional conduct alleged herein.
- At all times material to this complaint, defendant THE CITY OF NEW YORK, acting through its police department, and through the individual defendants, had policies, practices, customs, and usages of engaging in mass arrests without probable cause and/or individualized suspicion of wrongdoing, and of enforcing an unconstitutional, overbroad, and vague parading ordinance, and of discriminatorily and unequally applying the parading ordinance and other laws, including the statute prohibiting disorderly conduct. Examples of these unconstitutional, discriminatory and unequal policies, practices, customs, and usages are:

 (a) the enforcement of the unconstitutional parading statute, New York City Administrative Code section 10.110, Parading Without a Permit; (b) the application of the Parading Without a

Permit statute to people walking on the sidewalk; (c) the application of the Disorderly Conduct statute, subsection 5, to people walking on the sidewalk; (d) enforcement of the Disorderly Conduct statute, Penal Law section 240.20(6) (failure to obey a lawful order to disperse) without cause to believe that an arrestee was congregated with others and/or without having issued any dispersal orders and/or failing to ensure that the dispersal orders were heard and/or or without giving a sufficient opportunity for people to disperse. These policies, practices, customs, and usages were a direct and proximate cause of the unconstitutional conduct alleged herein.

82. As a result of the foregoing, plaintiffs were deprived of their liberty and property, suffered bodily injury, pain and suffering, psychological and emotional injury, great humiliation, costs and expenses, and were otherwise damaged and injured.

FOURTH CLAIM

LIABILITY OF HUDSON RIVER PARK TRUST FOR CONSTITUTIONAL VIOLATIONS

- 83. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in all preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 84. At all times material to this complaint, defendant HUDSON RIVER PARK
 TRUST had <u>de facto</u> policies, practices, customs and usages which were a direct and proximate cause of the unconstitutional conduct alleged herein.
- 85. At all times material to this complaint, defendant HUDSON RIVER PARK TRUST, had <u>de facto</u> policies, practices, customs, and usages of deliberate indifference to the health and well being of those who they knew or should have known would be held in Pier 57.
- 86. By their conduct and actions in contracting with the CITY OF NEW YORK and otherwise assisting the CITY OF NEW YORK concerning the use of Pier 57 during the period of

the RNC, and thereby subjecting plaintiff to hazardous conditions of confinement, officials of the HUDSON RIVER PARK TRUST, acting under color of law and without lawful justification, and with a deliberate indifference to or a reckless disregard for the natural and probable consequences of their acts, caused injury and damage in violation of GWYNN GALITZER's constitutional rights as guaranteed under 42 U.S.C. §1983 and the United States Constitution, including its First, Fourth, Fifth, and Fourteenth amendment.

- 87. These acts, policies, practices, customs, and usages were a direct and proximate cause of the unconstitutional conduct alleged herein.
- 88. As a result of the foregoing, GWYNN GALITZER was deprived of her liberty and property, suffered bodily injury, pain and suffering, psychological and emotional injury, great humiliation, costs and expenses, and was otherwise damaged and injured.

FIFTH CLAIM

RESPONDEAT SUPERIOR LIABILITY OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK AND HUDSON RIVER PARK TRUST FOR STATE LAW VIOLATIONS

- 89. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in all preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 90. The conduct of defendants BLOOMBERG, KELLY, HAMMERMAN, COHEN, DOEPFNER, ALBANO, SWEET, MARIN-JORDAN, NYPD LEGAL BUREAU SUPERVISOR(S) AT PIER 57; ESPOSITO, GRAHAM, MCMANUS, SMOLKA, MONAHAN, COLGAN, O'NEILL, CAPALDO, MERCANDETI, MONTCHAL, MAHER, MITCHELL, NELZI, DOES, and ROES alleged herein, occurred while they were on duty and in uniform, and/or in and during the course and scope of their duties and functions as New York City police officers, and/or while they were acting as agents and employees of defendant THE

Filed 02/05/2008

CITY OF NEW YORK, and, as a result, defendant THE CITY OF NEW YORK is liable to plaintiff pursuant to the state common law doctrine of respondeat superior.

- 91. The conduct of employees and officials of the HUDSON RIVER PARK TRUST, alleged herein, in contracting with and otherwise assisting the CITY OF NEW YORK concerning the improper and dangerous use of Pier 57, occurred in and during the course and scope of their duties and functions as employees and officials of the HUDSON RIVER PARK TRUST, and, as a result, defendant HUDSON RIVER PARK TRUST is liable to plaintiff pursuant to the state common law doctrine of respondeat superior.
- 92. As a result of the foregoing, GWYNN GALITZER was deprived of her liberty and property, suffered bodily injury, pain and suffering, psychological and emotional injury, great humiliation, costs and expenses, and was otherwise damaged and injured.

SIXTH CLAIM

ASSAULT AND BATTERY

- 93. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in all preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 94. By the actions described above, defendants MAHER, MITCHELL, NELZI, DOES, and ROES did inflict assault and battery upon GWYNN GALITZER. The acts and conduct of defendants were the direct and proximate cause of injury and damage to GWYNN GALITZER and violated her statutory and common law rights as guaranteed by the laws and Constitution of the State of New York.
- 95. As a result of the foregoing, GWYNN GALITZER was deprived of her liberty and property, suffered bodily injury, pain and suffering, psychological and emotional injury,

great humiliation, costs and expenses, and was otherwise damaged and injured.

SEVENTH CLAIM

FALSE ARREST and FALSE IMPRISONMENT

- Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in all preceding 96. paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 97. By the actions described above, defendants MAHER, MITCHELL, NELZI, DOES, and ROES caused to be falsely arrested or falsely arrested GWYNN GALITZER, without reasonable or probable cause, illegally and without a warrant, and without any right or authority to do so. The acts and conduct of the defendants were the direct and proximate cause of injury and damage to GWYNN GALITZER and violated her statutory and common law rights as guaranteed by the laws and Constitution of the State of New York.
- 98. As a result of the foregoing, GWYNN GALITZER was deprived of her liberty and property, suffered bodily injury, pain and suffering, psychological and emotional injury, great humiliation, costs and expenses, and was otherwise damaged and injured.

EIGHTH CLAIM

INTENTIONAL and NEGLIGENT INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS

- 99. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in all preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 100. By the actions described above, defendants MAHER, MITCHELL, NELZI, DOES, and ROES engaged in extreme and outrageous conduct, which intentionally and/or negligently caused severe emotional distress to GWYNN GALITZER. The acts and conduct of the defendants were the direct and proximate cause of injury and damage to plaintiff and violated

her statutory and common law rights as guaranteed by the laws and Constitution of the State of New York.

101. As a result of the foregoing, GWYNN GALITZER was deprived of her liberty and property, suffered bodily injury, pain and suffering, psychological and emotional injury, great humiliation, costs and expenses, and was otherwise damaged and injured.

NINTH CLAIM

ABUSE OF PROCESS

- 102. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in all preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- By the conduct and actions described above, defendants MAHER, MITCHELL, 103. NELZI, DOES, and ROES employed regularly issued process against GWYNN GALITZER compelling the performance or forbearance of prescribed acts. The purpose of activating the process was intent to harm GWYNN GALITZER without economic or social excuse or justification, and the defendants were seeking a collateral advantage or corresponding detriment to GWYNN GALITZER which was outside the legitimate ends of the process. The acts and conduct of the defendants were the direct and proximate cause of injury and damage to GWYNN GALITZER and violated her statutory and common law rights as guaranteed by the laws and Constitution of the State of New York.
- As a result of the foregoing, GWYNN GALITZER was deprived of her liberty 104. and property, suffered bodily injury, pain and suffering, psychological and emotional injury, great humiliation, costs and expenses, and was otherwise damaged and injured.

TENTH CLAIM

VIOLATION OF AND RETALIATION FOR THE EXERCISE OF RIGHTS TO FREE SPEECH AND ASSEMBLY

- 105. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in all preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 106. By the actions described above, defendants MAHER, MITCHELL, NELZI, DOES, and ROES violated the free speech and assembly rights of plaintiff and retaliated against GWYNN GALITZER for the exercise of her rights to free speech and assembly. The acts and conduct of the defendants were the direct and proximate cause of injury and damage to GWYNN GALITZER and violated her statutory and common law rights as guaranteed by the laws and Constitution of the State of New York.
- As a result of the foregoing, GWYNN GALITZER was deprived of her liberty 107. and property, suffered bodily injury, pain and suffering, psychological and emotional injury, great humiliation, costs and expenses, and was otherwise damaged and injured.

ELEVENTH CLAIM

VIOLATION OF RIGHT TO EQUAL PROTECTION OF LAW

- Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in all preceding 108. paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 109. By the actions described above, defendants MAHER, MITCHELL, NELZI, DOES, and ROES violated GWYNN GALITZER's right to equal protection of law. The acts and conduct of the defendants were the direct and proximate cause of injury and damage to GWYNN GALITZER and violated her statutory and common law rights as guaranteed by the laws and Constitution of the State of New York.

As a result of the foregoing, GWYNN GALITZER was deprived of her liberty 110. and property, suffered bodily injury, pain and suffering, psychological and emotional injury, great humiliation, costs and expenses, and was otherwise damaged and injured.

TWELFTH CLAIM

NEGLIGENCE

- 111. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in all preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 112. The defendants MAHER, MITCHELL, NELZI, DOES, and ROES, jointly and severally, negligently caused injuries, emotional distress and damage to GWYNN GALITZER. The acts and conduct of the defendants were the direct and proximate cause of injury and damage to GWYNN GALITZER and violated her statutory and common law rights as guaranteed by the laws and Constitution of the State of New York.
- 113. As a result of the foregoing, GWYNN GALITZER was deprived of her liberty and property, suffered bodily injury, pain and suffering, psychological and emotional injury, great humiliation, costs and expenses, and was otherwise damaged and injured.

THIRTEENTH CLAIM

NEGLIGENT HIRING, SCREENING, RETENTION, SUPERVISION AND TRAINING

- 114. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in all preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- Defendants THE CITY OF NEW YORK and HUDSON RIVER PARK TRUST 115. negligently hired, screened, retained, supervised and trained the employees and officials who injured plaintiff. The acts and conduct of these employees and officials were the direct and

proximate cause of injury and damage to GWYNN GALITZER and violated her statutory and common law rights as guaranteed by the laws and Constitution of the State of New York.

116. As a result of the foregoing, GWYNN GALITZER was deprived of her liberty and property, suffered bodily injury, pain and suffering, psychological and emotional injury, great humiliation, costs and expenses, and was otherwise damaged and injured.

FOURTEENTH CLAIM

FUTURE MEDICAL EXPENSES

- Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in all preceding 117. paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 118. During her detention at Pier 57, GWYNN GALITZER was exposed to hazardous materials. As a result of this exposure, plaintiff reasonably anticipates consequential damages in the form of future expenses for medical monitoring and testing.
- 119. As a result of the foregoing, GWYNN GALITZER was deprived of her liberty and property, suffered bodily injury, pain and suffering, psychological and emotional injury, great humiliation, costs and expenses, and was otherwise damaged and injured.

FIFTEENTH CLAIM

PRIMA FACIE TORT

- 120. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in all preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- Defendants MAHER, MITCHELL, NELZI, DOES, and ROES, without excuse or 121. justification, and intending to inflict harm upon plaintiff by an act or series of acts that would otherwise be lawful, did inflict harm upon GWYNN GALITZER, to wit, the confinement and

processing of GWYNN GALITZER through the stages of pre-arraignment detention in a hazardous and dilatory fashion. The acts and conduct of the defendants were the direct and proximate cause of injury and damage to GWYNN GALITZER and violated her statutory and common law rights as guaranteed by the laws and Constitution of the State of New York.

122. As a result of the foregoing, GWYNN GALITZER was deprived of her liberty and property, suffered bodily injury, pain and suffering, psychological and emotional injury, great humiliation, costs and expenses, and was otherwise damaged and injured.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff demands the following relief jointly and severally against all of the defendants:

- a. Compensatory damages;
- b. Punitive damages;
- c. The convening and empanelling of a jury to consider the merits of the claims herein;
 - d. Costs and interest and attorney's fees;
 - e. Such other and further relief as this court may deem appropriate and equitable.

Dated: New York, New York February 4, 2008

____/S/____

JEFFREY A. ROTHMAN, Esq. (JR-0398) 315 Broadway, Suite 200 New York, New York 10007 (212) 227-2980 Attorney for Plaintiff Gwynn Galitzer